

FILED

SEP - 6 2011

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLANDUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,

Case No. CR A-11-70467 MAG

Plaintiff,

STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

v.

ANJI REDDY DIRISANALA

)

Defendant.

)

For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Sept. 6, 2011, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from Sept. 6, 2011 to Oct. 11, 2011 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):

- Failure to grant a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).
- The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
- Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
- Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
- Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

Time is also excluded under Fed. R. Crim. P. 5, 1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/6/11DONNA M. RYU  
United States Magistrate JudgeSTIPULATED: JEP Phillips  
Attorney for DefendantHarley Wolf  
Assistant United States AttorneyDocument No.  
1910  
District Court  
Criminal Case Processing